

Final Report Rubric

Date: _____

Name of the students: _____

Title of the Project: _____

Reviewer Name: _____

Criteria	Grade 1-5	Notes
Abstract: does it clearly convey what was done in this project?		
Acknowledgment: appropriate people have been acknowledged? SOURCE for funding? Faculty advisor? Industry Mentor? Anyone in the lab?		
Introduction and background		
• Literature review		
• Identifying their motivation and the impact of engineering solution within the global, economic, environmental, and societal context		
• Clarity of diagrams		
Requirements (SO-2)		
• Clarity of engineering requirements		
• Clarity of marketing requirements		
Marketing Requirement (SO-2)		
• A brief survey results and market analysis		
Design & Methodology (SO-4)		
• Clarity of hardware and software subsystems using high level diagram		
• Clarity of diagrams for each subsystem		
• List of component is included and it is complete		
• Cost is clearly described in detail		
• Overall system design is clearly described		
Schedule (SO-5)		
• Does the document include the original implementation plan?		
• Does the document elaborate on how the original plan was carried out?		
Tests conducted (SO-2)		
• Test results are clearly included; it is clear what is being tested and why		
Engineering Ethics (SO-4)		
Does the section describes and elaborate on potential ethical problems?		
General		
Web page: Is it available and updated?		
Figures and Tables: are clearly referenced and described		
Overall writing mechanics: grammar usage (past tense), sentence structure, punctuation, and spelling are consistent with standard engineering usage		
TOTAL (out of 100) →		

Overall, what grade do you give to this report? (circle one: A, A-, B+, B, B-, C+, D)

Overall, does this report have the acceptable quality to be published on SSU library web site: YES / NO